

REMARKS

In the last Action, restriction was required between claims 1-5 drawn to semiconductor devices and claims 6-9 drawn to a process of making a semiconductor device. The Examiner stated that the two inventions are patentably distinct from each other, thereby making restriction proper. Applicant was required to elect one of the two inventions for further prosecution in this application.

In response to the restriction requirement, applicant has provisionally elected the invention of Group I drawn to semiconductor devices and submits that claims 1-5 are readable on the elected invention. The non-elected claims have been retained in the application pending possible withdrawal of the restriction requirement or applicant's decision to pursue the subject matter thereof in a continuing application.

In light of the foregoing, early and favorable action on the merits is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

ADAMS & WILKS
Attorneys for Applicant

By: 

Bruce L. Adams
Reg. No. 25,986

50 Broadway
31st Floor
New York, NY 10004
(212) 809-3700